Risk Matrix

		Low	Medium	High	Extreme					
	Unlikely	Low (1)	Low (2)	Low (3)	Low (4)					
Probability	Possible	Low (2)	Low (4)	Medium (6)	Medium (8)					
	Likely	Low (3)	Medium (6)	Medium (9)	High (12)					
	Almost Certain	Low (4)	Medium (8)	High (12)	High (16)					



June 2017

Central

Inherent Risk

Risk Reference	Nature / Description of Risk	Risk Owner	Probability	Impact	Risk Score		Actions to Mitigate Risk	Transfer detail (if necessary and date)	Additional Detail	LA / Hub	Escalation from LA detail (if necessary and date)	Following Mitigation		on Date appear on ERW Register		Date taken off ERW Register
Reference								(ii necessary and date)			(ii necessary and date)	Probability	Impact	Risk Score	педізісі	Register
1	Failure to comply or act on Internal Audit recommendations	Managing Director and Section 151 Officer	Unlikely	Medium	2	Treat and Mitigate	Tracking progress against- recommendations and have track record of swift actions on- previous recommendations. This- aspect is low risk. Further action between PCC and SCC to manage new risks. Further action to receive quarterly assurance from each LA. Better communication between directors and finance staff in own LA.	N/A	Swansea LA qualifications in- Audit Report and potential WG claw back 14-19. Swansea underspend for PDG. Internal- audit report notes issue- countinues to be a risk— sample size small In grants- testing.	Swansea	N/A	Unlikely	Łow	1	March 2015	
2	Local Government Reorganisation- may result in LAs being placed in different Consortiums	Lead Leader	Unlikely	Medium	2	Tolerate	Would require planning to accept a new partner or agree an exit strategy for existing partner. This is long term and preparation time will be sufficient.	Monitor on-going issues in-	ERW work is planned and- monitored with LGR- considerations. Suggest taking off register as risk is- lower now	N/A	N/A	Unlikely	Medium	2	March 2015	Mar-16
3	Estyn visits result in LAs being placed in follow up / special measures or requiring further attention	Chief Education Officers	Likely	High	9	Transfer to 3rd- Party / LA	Robust self evaluation and monitoring at LA level, with regional strategies to support. Pembrokeshire support network established by ERW by mutual consent. Review of evidence work reaims of concern.	Place on Pembrokeshire Risk Register	Email sent	Pembrokeshire	N/A	Likely	High	9	March 2015	
4	Contributory LAs do not fulfil their- commitment as outlined in the- Functions Framework	Directors / MD	Unlikely	Medium	2	Treat and Monitor	Clear expectations of services- and standards to be delivered, in line with Legal Agreement.			N/A	N/A	Unlikely	Low	1	March 2015	Oct-15
5	Inspection of Region or any single LA finds less than adequate standards, provision or leadership	Managing Director and 6 Directors	Likely	High	9	Treat and Mitigate	Alternative support lead ChAd for schools causing concern required in LA. all alliance members the ownership on detaila and accountability. Effective BP in place. Clear plan for improvement as part of improvement planning, but heightned urgency pre inspection. Taken swift effective.	All	Risk for all LAs but specifically Pembrokeshire, where pace of improvement has not been good enough and high proprtion of secondaries causing concern.	All	N/A	Unlikely	Medium	2	March 2015	
6	Insufficient capacity of Central Team and Challenge Adviser Team to deliver Business Plan to high standard	Directors and Managing Director	Likely	High	9	Treat and Mitigate	Effective planning Central Team capacity to coordinate and facilitate change. position remains same and is critical Discuss with all Directors 24/07/15. All agreed capacity and restructure of Central Team. Improved planning and training on key workload issues. Challenege adviser capacity agreed to maintain at full Sept 2015	N/A	Review leads to need to reaffirm frm all LAs the commitment as set out in legal agreement Joint committee paper on camparing regions is likely to raise issue and further action is likley. Central team caacity discussion with LD 14/10/16	Pembrokeshire; Carmarthenshire, Sswansea, Ceredigion	N/A	Likely	High	9	March 2015	

Risk Matrix

		Impact							
		Low	Medium	High	Extreme				
	Unlikely	Low (1)	Low (2)	Low (3)	Low (4)				
Probability	Possible	Low (2)	Low (4)	Medium (6)	Medium (8)				
	Likely	Low (3)	Medium (6)	Medium (9)	High (12)				
	Almost Certain	Low (4)	Medium (8)	High (12)	High (16)				



June 2017

Central

Inherent Risk

		impact														
Risk Reference	Nature / Description of Risk	isk Risk Owner	Probability	/ Impact	Risk Score		Actions to Mitigate Risk	Transfer detail Additional Detail (if necessary and date)	LA / Hub	Escalation from LA detail (if necessary and date)	Following Mitigation			Date appear on ERW Register	Date taken off ERW Register	
											,	Probability	Impact	Risk Score		
7	Governance and Legal footir ERW found to be ineffective securing consistent improve across all LAs by Estyn / WAG / Self Evaluation	t Managing Director, ent Directors and	Possible	High	6	Treat and Mitigate	Evidence of effective communication, planning and accountability. Impact on outcomes is clear. Remaining risk is system knowledge by external stakeholders. Action by LA to respond to identified risks in Register		Additional comms briefing scheduled. Review paper on effectiveness of governance underway	N/A	N/A	Possible	Mediun	4	March 2015	
8	Support and intervention co with local plans and strategi not lead to improvement in	do Director and PCC	Likely	High	9	Treat and Mitigate	plans agreed and committed to by Exec in Dec 2015. network underway and chaired by Lead Chief exec. Estyn and WG sighted of plans - work to action necessary	transfer to LA register necessary	agreement between MD and Director as to support for all key schools causing cocnern. Remains concern n revieiwing support proviced for key schools. Duplication remains a concern.	Pembs		likely	High		October 2015	
9	Failure to address or implem areas of ERW BP	nt key MD / Chairs of Priority Boards	likely	High	3	Treat	Focus on bringing pace to groups through effective minutes/actions.increased central capacity to monitor quality and actions/ focus. Target additional support for Support for Learning Groups.		All alliance members should adhere to agreed code of conduct, BP actions and Legal agreement. Capacity issues in central team, and capacity of LAs to respond to Legal Agreement commitment and SLAs	N/A		likely	Medium	2	March 2015	
10	recruitment and retention of tea	MD and directors	high	high	9	Treat	lead national prgramme to support engagement and comminication on R7R. Also support and provide CPD for HTs and aaspiring leaders	transfer to all LA registers	awaiting confirmation of progress							
11	Devolved teachers pay and Condition 1 not nativity undermining ERW Supp Schools, morale of workforce and ma change	rt to Wolch Government	Likely	high		9 Treat, tolerate and mitigal	Engage with national developments fully, monitor carefully any emerging changes.	All LA Registers (all LAs will be affecte	d)			likely	high		9 January 2017	
12	The region's limited capacity risks und the ability of ERW to respond at the s and impact to the requirements of th National Model and white paper.	me pace	Likely	High	9		Still in a position of risk - this needs to be reviewed.									
13	The region has received two letters fr outlining the concerns that ERW is no "Regional Grants" within the spirit of and conditions. Risk that funding may withdrawn	using its e terms Managing Director, Directors	Likely	Hgh	9											